

Detailed guidelines for filling out the proposal entry form in a call for launching research platforms on the strefaID platform:

Proposals shall be drawn up **in English**. In individual cases specified in the call announcement proposals may be drawn up in Polish.

The proposal comprises four sections:

- I. The applicants' data and basic information on the project.
- II. Detailed description (project's merit and relevance to the EI.JU programme goals, evaluated using scoring of **0-40** points)
- III. Goal completion (evaluated using scoring of **0-15** points)
- IV. Applicant and team members' research achievements (*evaluated using scoring of **0-15 points***) V. Project implementation plan and schedule (*evaluated using scoring of **0-15 points***). VI. Project cost estimate (*relevance evaluated using scoring of **0-15 points***).

I. The applicant's data and information on the project

Call details

Call ID

Name of the call: Research platforms in the Heritage PRA (first edition) Proposal: R2R: research to research cooperation

Select application type: Group*

**Only group proposals are accepted*

Priority Research Area

Heritage

Applicant

Co-authors

Basic information

In the 'Basic information' section complete the following boxes:

- Project title
- Project title in English
- Key words: enter from **1 to 5** key words or phrases, separated by commas]
- Key words in English
- Project aim

Project summary and Project summary in English Research domains

Research discipline

Scopus subject areas

Implementation timeframe

In the section 'Implementation timeframe' enter the project's timeframe by selecting a date range **or** by entering the number of months.

Description of the research

Description of the research consists of three parts: Project aims, Detailed description (Thematic scope of the project, Description of research methods and tools, Project's relevance to the EI.JU program goals, the '4I' principle, and the program indicators, The project's relevance to the Heritage PRA thematic scope, Additional information)

The proposal's merit-based value for this part of the project, taking into account its compliance with the EI.JU Strategy and goals, will be assessed using scoring from 0 to 40 points.

Project aims

In the 'Project aims' section individually enter the aims of the research platform activity and the measures by which they will be achieved.

II. Detailed description (merit-based evaluation: 0 – 40 points)

'Thematic scope of the project' (from 5,400 to 7,200 characters with spaces) as prescribed below:

1. Presentation of the problems on which the research seminar programme is focused and the platform's other activities (workshops, seminars).
2. Description of the project's innovative nature: international state of the art and significance of the planned actions for the advancement of science.
3. Description of the project's internationalization: expected results of research activity for the advancement of research cooperation.
4. Relevance of the research platform activities to the implemented or planned research projects (if applicable).
5. Relevance of the research platform activities to the education of students and doctoral students.

Concept of the project and new activities implementation (from 1,800 to 3,600 characters with spaces)*

1. Description of the activities planned for research seminar and other forms of the platform operations (frequency of the seminar meetings, expected number of foreign researchers in a given round, suggested dates, topics and number of workshop guests).
2. Expected forms of extending the operations of the research platform

**Info: proposed research platform activities (research seminar and workshops/seminars, activities popularising science)*

III. Project's relevance to the EI.JU program goals, the '4I' principle, and the program indicators (up to 3,600 characters) (evaluated using scoring of **0 – 15 points**):

1. Relevance of the expected project results to the goals of the EI.JU programme and the Heritage PRA strategy, in particular the consolidation of international cooperation;
2. Relevance of the expected project results (publications and grant projects) and the programme indicators. **The project's relevance to the PRA thematic scope (up to 1,800 characters).**

IV. Applicant and team members' research achievements (scoring: 0– 15 points)

Applicant

- Full name
Publications
Other

Team members:

- Full name
Publications Other
achievements:

Platform collaborators:

- Full name Publications
Other achievements
Participation status: confirmed, unconfirmed, other

V. Project implementation plan and schedule (scoring: 0- 15 points)

Products (direct effects)*

Project results (impact on the advancement of science or the social environment)*

** To be selected from a list*

VI. Project cost estimate (relevance will be evaluated using scoring of 0-15 points)

Individual costs Overall

project cost

Attachments

Declarations