

Annex no 1 to Terms and conditions of the call for research mini-grants in the DigiWorld PRA

Scoring criteria

1. Topic relevant to the DigiWorld PRA (yes/no)

This criterion must be met for the application to be considered for evaluation and funding.

2. Are costs in the project justified? (no points awarded)

The committee grants its approval to the cost calculation, or awards funding in a smaller amount than requested.

3. Importance of the research problem (0 to 5 points)

In particular:

- are similar problems being tackled by researchers in major research centres?
- potential impact of the research results on related disciplines.

4. Innovation / research (0, 1 or 3 point)

Preference will be given to projects that are innovative in terms of methodology / theory, and ones that open up new areas of application.

5. Expected results (0 to 5 points)

Subject to evaluation are specifically:

- quality of the planned publication (including the likelihood of publishing it in prestigious journals or delivering it at prestigious conferences),
- prospects for applying for a grant and securing it,

taking into account, among other things, the achievements of the principal investigator and the research team to date.

6. Interdisciplinarity(0, 3 or 6 points)

Subject to evaluation are specifically:

- the results' potential use in other disciplines,
- the use of other disciplines' methods / results,
- participation of researchers representing different disciplines or researchers with interdisciplinary achievements.

7. International profile of the research (0, 1 or 3 points)

Points to be specifically considered during evaluation:

- have international collaborators, with whom cooperation is documented (e.g. a joint publication or preprint) been listed?
- rank of the research centres and research teams to which the collaborators belong.

8. Applicant's /team's achievements to date (0 to 3 points)

9. Evaluation of the implementation of the previous mini-grant (-5 to 0 points)

Points to be specifically considered during evaluation:

- have the research tasks stated in the application been performed?
- have there been publications (or patents / utility models etc.) launched during the mini-grant's implementation, and if so, what is their weighting as per the score used by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education? Are they a result of international collaboration or the collaboration with students / doctoral candidates?